UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/549,914	09/20/2005	Yasumi Yugari	2005_1461A	4790	
	7590 03/04/200 , LIND & PONACK, I	EXAMINER			
1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			FRAZIER, BARBARA S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1611		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/04/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/549,914		YUGARI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BARBARA FRAZIER	1611	

	BARBARA FRAZIER	1611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS.		-				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of Areplies: (1) an amendment, affidavioal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ').	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	causo			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOา		cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1.		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	. ,,	mpliant Amandment (DTOL 324\			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (i	10L-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
	/Lakshmi S Channavajj Primary Examiner, Art U					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment to claim 1 wherein the foodstuff is now having metal-containing yeast of 0.01% to 5.0% by weight, was not previously presented in the claims. Accordingly, since this is a new limitation to claim 1, it raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: said arguments are not persuasive for overcoming the outstanding rejection. In response to Applcant's argument that "metal-containing yeast" is not disclosed or suggested by the prior art, said limitation has not been previously presented and raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search, and therefore has not been entered (see 3, above). In response to Applicant's argument that "lowering the blood concentration of nitrogen in blood" is not disclosed or suggested by the prior art, the previous Office action points out that said limitation describes an intended use for the food composition. Since the prior art references are drawn to ingestible compositions and would be capable of the intended use, said limitation is not given patentable weight.